

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/833,674 04/13/2001		04/13/2001	Shunpei Yamazaki	12732-028001	. 2128
26171	7590	12/13/2006		EXAMINER	
FISH & RI	CHARDS	SON P.C.	MACKOWEY, ANTHONY M		
P.O. BOX 1				APTIBIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPO	DLIS, MN	55440-1022	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			•	2624	•

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 November 2006.  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,6-9,12-35,38-43,46-53,56-59,62-85,88-93 and 96-100 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-34,39-42,47-50,63-84,89-92 and 97-100 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,6-9,12,35,38,43,46,51-53,56-59,62,85,88,93 and 96 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Anthony Mackowey The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after StX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If INO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply visible in the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 November 2006.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-3.6-9.12-35,38-43,46-53,56-59,62-85,88-93 and 96-100 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-34,39-42,47-50,63-84,89-92 and 97-100 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		Office Action Comments	09/833,674	YAMAZAKI ET AL.					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 November 2006.  2a)  This action is FINAL.  2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-3,6-9,12-35,38-43,46-53,56-59,62-85,88-93 and 96-100 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-34,39-42,47-50,63-84,89-92 and 97-100 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 1-3,6-9,12,35,38,43,46,51-53,56-59,62,85,88,93 and 96 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 1-3,6-9,12,35,38,43,46,51-53,56-59,62,85,88,93 and 96 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 1-3,6-9,12,35,38,43,46,51-53,56-59,62,85,88,93 and 96 is/are rejected.		Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 November 2006.  2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 1-3.6-9.12-35.38-43.46-53.56-59.62-85.88-93 and 96-100 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-34.39-42.47-50.63-84.89-92 and 97-100 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) 1-3.6-9.12.35.38.43.46.51-53.56-59.62.85.88.93 and 96 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) 1-3.6-9.12.35.38.43.46.51-53.56-59.62.85.88.93 and 96 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) 1-3.6-9.12.35.38.43.46.51-53.56-59.62.85.88.93 and 96 is/are rejected.			,	1					
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will gets (3) (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will gets (3) (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 November 2006.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-3,6-9,12-35,38-43,46-53,56-59,62-85,88-93 and 96-100 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-34,39-42,47-50,63-84,89-92 and 97-100 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 November 2006.  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,6-9,12-35,38-43,46-53,56-59,62-85,88-93 and 96-100 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-34,39-42,47-50,63-84,89-92 and 97-100 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,6-9,12,35,38,43,46,51-53,56-59,62,85,88,93 and 96 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
<ul> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.</li> <li>2b) ☑ This action is non-final.</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> <li>Disposition of Claims</li> <li>4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-3,6-9,12-35,38-43,46-53,56-59,62-85,88-93 and 96-100 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-34,39-42,47-50,63-84,89-92 and 97-100 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-3,6-9,12,35,38,43,46,51-53,56-59,62,85,88,93 and 96 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>	Status								
<ul> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.</li> <li>2b) ☑ This action is non-final.</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> <li>Disposition of Claims</li> <li>4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-3,6-9,12-35,38-43,46-53,56-59,62-85,88-93 and 96-100 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-34,39-42,47-50,63-84,89-92 and 97-100 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-3,6-9,12,35,38,43,46,51-53,56-59,62,85,88,93 and 96 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>	1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 M	ovember 2006						
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,6-9,12-35,38-43,46-53,56-59,62-85,88-93 and 96-100 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-34,39-42,47-50,63-84,89-92 and 97-100 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,6-9,12,35,38,43,46,51-53,56-59,62,85,88,93 and 96 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-3,6-9,12-35,38-43,46-53,56-59,62-85,88-93 and 96-100 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-34,39-42,47-50,63-84,89-92 and 97-100 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-3,6-9,12,35,38,43,46,51-53,56-59,62,85,88,93 and 96 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	,	<i>,</i> —							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,6-9,12-35,38-43,46-53,56-59,62-85,88-93 and 96-100 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-34,39-42,47-50,63-84,89-92 and 97-100 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,6-9,12,35,38,43,46,51-53,56-59,62,85,88,93 and 96 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	,,_								
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>13-34,39-42,47-50,63-84,89-92 and 97-100</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-9,12,35,38,43,46,51-53,56-59,62,85,88,93 and 96</u> is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	Disposit	·							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>13-34,39-42,47-50,63-84,89-92 and 97-100</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-9,12,35,38,43,46,51-53,56-59,62,85,88,93 and 96</u> is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-3 6-9 12-35 38-43 46-53 56-59 62-8	85 88-03 and 06-100 is/are pendi	ng in the application					
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-3,6-9,12,35,38,43,46,51-53,56-59,62,85,88,93 and 96 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-9,12,35,38,43,46,51-53,56-59,62,85,88,93 and 96</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	_	<u> </u>							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	′=								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Application Papers	السار	are subject to restriction and/o	· Clockon requirement.						
	Applicat	ion Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 April 2001, 25 April 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.	10)🛛								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		, ·							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d):									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	11)								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>	a)	<ul> <li>All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)		• •							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)									
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:	3) 🔲 Infori	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of Informal P	and the second s					

Application/Control Number: 09/833,674

Art Unit: 2624

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 30, 2006 has been entered.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 6-9, 12, 35, 38, 43, 46, 51-53, 56-59, 62, 85, 88, 93 and 96 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ritter (USPN 6,657,538, cited on applicant's IDS) in view of Harkin (USPN 6,327,376, corresponding to WO 99/28701, cited on applicant's IDS) and Wang (USPN 6,175,922, newly cited).

Regarding claims 1 and 51, Ritter discloses a system for identifying an individual and a portable information device (col. 4, lines 16-27), comprising: a display device (column 4, line 25-32); means for checking read biological information with reference biological information

Application/Control Number: 09/833,674

Art Unit: 2624

(column 4, line 32-52); and means for transmitting information about the matching to a destination of communication (col. 5, lines 9-48) only when the read biological information has matched the reference biological information (col. 4, lines 40-48, Ritter teaches if the biometrics are not authenticated, usage may be prevented such as turning the phone off.).

Ritter does not disclose the display device having pixels, each of which includes a light emitting element and a sensor for reading biological information of a user or the light-emitting element comprises a cathode, a light emitting layer and an anode. Harkin discloses an electronic apparatus comprising fingerprint sensing devices constructed using transparent sense electrodes and combined with a flat panel display device such that fingerprints are sensed as the user is interacting with the display (column 9, line 14-63). Harkin further discloses the fingerprint sensor and the thin film components required for the array may be fabricated directly on the surface of the upper plate of the display (col. 9, lines 37-40), thus the display device has pixels including a light emitting element and a sensor for reading biological information. Regarding the light-emitting element comprising a cathode, and light emitting layer and an anode. Harkin discloses the display device may be an electroluminescent display, which inherently is comprised of these elements. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to read biological information of a user by means of a display as taught by Harkin in order to dispose the sensing device over a display while still allowing the output of the display to be viewed for use in the field of portable electronic products using displays such as mobile phones, smart cards, personal digital assistants (PDAs), and other portable computers while avoiding the need for a larger casing or sacrificing an area of the casing that could otherwise be used for other purposes (Harkin, column 4, line 8-35).

Ritter does not explicitly disclose judging legitimacy of the user by checking read biological information with the reference biological information; transmitting information about the judgment to a destination of communication; or the transmitted information indicates that the user is legitimate. Examiner notes the "legitimacy" and "legitimate" are being interpreted as meaning "authorization" or "authorized" as agreed upon during the in person interview conducted on November 14, 2006. Wang teaches a portable authorization device comprising: a means for judging legitimacy of the user by checking read biological information (col. 6, lines 40-57; col. 11, line 61 – col. 12, line 6; col. 16, lines 20-34); transmitting information about the judgment to a destination of communication; and the transmitted information indicates that the user is legitimate (col. 5, lines 30-39; col. 6, lines 40-57; col. 7, lines 12-24; col. 11, line 61 – col. 12, line 6; col. 16, lines 20-34, Wang teaches approval requires the device to determine whether the user has proper access to the device through identification such as biometrics, therefore the transmitted approval information inherently indicates the judgment that that the user is legitimate.). The teachings of Ritter and Wang are combinable because they are both concerned with portable devices for identifying an individual through read biological information. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify system and device taught by Ritter to include a means for judging the legitimacy of the user; means for transmitting information about the judgment to a destination of communication, the transmitted information indicating that the user is legitimate as taught by Wang in order to keep identification data related to the user secure within the device, enhancing confidentiality and security (Wang, col. 5, lines 33-39; col. 6, lines 32-48).

This is obviously a desirable improvement over Ritter alone which teaches directly transmitting the identification data to the destination of communication (col. 5, lines 9-18).

Ritter also does not explicitly disclose a flash memory for storing reference biological information of said user. Ritter discloses storing the biometric keys (biological information on a SIM-card, which is inserted into a communication device (col. 1, lines 46-49). Page 10, first paragraph of the specification recites, "This portable communication device is identical with conventional ones in having an antenna 601, a transmission and reception circuit 602, a signal processing circuit 603 to compress, expand and encode signals, a microcomputer 604 for control, a flash memory 605, a keyboard 606, a voice input circuit 607, voice output circuit 608, a microphone 609, a speaker 610 and, in addition, this device further has a sensor-incorporated display 611, a checking circuit part 612, etc." However, Wang further discloses the storage of identification data in flash memory (col. 9, lines 50-58). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the communication device taught by Ritter to alternatively store the reference biological information in flash memory instead of a SIM-card. One would have been motivated to use flash memory because it is suitable for long time saving, with no power required for storage, and can be expanded (via replacement or additional cards) to store larger amounts of data.

Regarding claims 7 and 57, Ritter discloses a system for identifying an individual and a portable information device (col. 4, lines 16-27), comprising: a display device (column 4, line 25-32); means for checking read biological information with reference biological information (column 4, line 32-52); and means for transmitting information about the matching to a

Page 6

destination of communication (col. 5, lines 9-48) only when the read biological information has matched the reference biological information (col. 4, lines 40-48, Ritter teaches if the biometrics are not authenticated, usage may be prevented such as turning the phone off.); and a means for notifying said user (provide client and operator with instructions via user interface) that communication between said user and said destination of communication has been authorized after said destination of communication receives the information (column 4, line 32-52; column 5, line 9-33).

The Examiner notes that Ritter does not explicitly disclose notifying the user that communication has been authorized, but it is obvious if not inherent that the user is informed of the authentication decision. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to notify said user, after said destination of communication receives the information, that communication between said user and said destination of communication has been authorized in order to inform the user whether or not communication has been authorized so that the user may take appropriate action.

Ritter does not disclose the display device having pixels, each of which includes a light emitting element and a sensor for reading biological information of a user or the light-emitting element comprises a cathode, a light emitting layer and an anode. Ritter does not explicitly disclose judging legitimacy of the user by checking read biological information with the reference biological information, transmitting information about the judgment to a destination of communication; or the transmitted information indicates that the user is legitimate. Ritter also does not disclose a flash memory for storing reference biological information of said user.

Arguments analogous to those presented above for claims 1 and 51 are applicable to claims 7 and

57.

Regarding claims 35 and 85, Ritter discloses a system for identifying an individual and a portable information device (col. 4, lines 16-27), comprising: a display device (column 4, line 25-32); means for checking read biological information with reference biological information (column 4, line 32-52); and means for transmitting information about the matching to a destination of communication through the internet (col. 5, lines 9-48; col. 6, lines 1-15) only when the read biological information has matched the reference biological information ( col. 4, lines 40-48, Ritter teaches if the biometrics are not authenticated, usage may be prevented such as turning the phone off.); and a means for notifying said user (provide client and operator with instructions via user interface) that communication between said user and said destination of communication has been authorized after said destination of communication receives the information (column 4, line 32-52; column 5, line 9-33).

Ritter does not disclose the display device having pixels, each of which includes a light emitting element and a sensor for reading biological information of a user (means for reading biological information of a user by means of said sensor-incorporated display) or the light emitting element comprises a cathode, a light emitting layer and an anode. Ritter also does not disclose a flash memory for storing reference biological information of said user. Arguments analogous to those presented above for claims 1 and 51 are applicable to claim 35 and 85.

Regarding claims 43 and 93, Regarding claims 7 and 57, Ritter discloses a system for identifying an individual and a portable information device (col. 4, lines 16-27), comprising: a display device (column 4, line 25-32); means for checking read biological information with reference biological information (column 4, line 32-52); and means for transmitting information about the matching to a destination of communication through the Internet (col. 5, lines 9-48; col. 6, lines 1-15) only when the read biological information has matched the reference biological information ( col. 4, lines 40-48, Ritter teaches if the biometrics are not authenticated, usage may be prevented such as turning the phone off.); and a means for notifying said user (provide client and operator with instructions via user interface) that communication between said user and said destination of communication has been authorized after said destination of communication receives the information (column 4, line 32-52; column 5, line 9-33; see above discussion of claims 7 and 57).

Ritter does not disclose the display device having pixels, each of which includes a light emitting element and a sensor for reading biological information of a user or the light-emitting element comprises a cathode, a light emitting layer and an anode. Ritter does not explicitly disclose judging legitimacy of the user by checking read biological information with the reference biological information; transmitting information about the judgment to a destination of communication; or the transmitted information indicates that the user is legitimate. Ritter also does not disclose a flash memory for storing reference biological information of said user.

Arguments analogous to those presented above for claims 1 and 51 are applicable to claim 43 and 93.

Page 9

Regarding claims 2, 8, 52 and 58, Ritter discloses that said biological information of said user is a palm pattern or a fingerprint (column 2, line 52-61).

Regarding claims 3, 9, 53 and 59, neither Ritter nor Harkin explicitly disclose that said biological information of said user is a pattern of a part of the palm of the user. The examiner takes Official Notice that palm imaging is well known in the art of biometrics. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a pattern of a part of the palm as said biological information in order to identify the user based on the pattern of the palm.

Regarding claims 6, 12, 38, 46, 56, 62, 88 and 96, Harkin discloses the sensor comprises a contact type area sensor (column 5, line 54-column 6, line 23, line 58-column 7, line 10).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony Mackowey whose telephone number is (571) 272-7425. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bhavesh Mehta can be reached on (571) 272-7453. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AM 12/10/06

ANDREW W. JOHNS
PRIMARY FXAMINED